

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington; D.C. 2033; i. www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/607,914	06/29	9/2000	Chingwei Peter Cheng	ORCL5638	2945
22430	7590	01/15/2003			
YOUNG LAW FIRM				ЕХАМП	NER /
4370 ALPIN	IONAL COR E ROAD SU	ITE 106	SHAFFER, ERICT		
PORTOLA VALLEY, CA 94028				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3623	
				DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	•	09/607,914	CHENG ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
·		Eric T. Shaffer	3623						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	lune 2000	•						
·		is action is non-final.							
2a)□	· —		resecution as to the merits is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-42$ is/are pending in the application	1.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office								

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 - 5, 8 - 13, 15 - 19, 22 - 27, 29 - 33 and 36 - 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Healy et al (US 6,298,328).

2. Claims 1, 15 and 29 are a method, computer system and medium of categorizing a customer, comprising the steps of:

selecting a measure on which the status of the customer is to be analyzed; A measure called the propensity to buy is anticipated by Healy et al which discloses "the determination of the rated buying population for a category involves inputting a population for the category and a propensity-to-buy rating for the category, and multiplying the population for the category by the propensity-to-buy rating for the category" (column 2, lines 6 - 10).

selecting a calculation period; A time period for a calculation to be performed is anticipated by Healy et al which discloses "Forecasting can be done either by assigning a growth rate to each time period for a given product" (column 5, lines 23 - 24).

selecting a comparison method; A method of comparing propensity-to-buy ratings is anticipated by Healy et al which discloses "For each category of each market factor, the profiles and ratings data includes a propensity-to-buy rating. For example, a propensity-to-buy rating

Art Unit: 3623

would be assigned to the population that is 40-50 years old. This could be a value on a scale of 1 to 100 or any other scale" (column 6, lines 12 -16).

computing a lifecycle factor, the lifecycle factor being related to both a size and a growth of the customer according to the selected measure, both the size and growth being determined over two selected calculation periods using the selected comparison method. The size of the customer is anticipated by Healy et al, which discloses "the sub-region's population falling within the category (e.g., the number of persons between ages 40 and 50)" (column 6, lines 31 - 33). The growth rate is also anticipated by Healy et al, which discloses "growth rates can also be made specific to geography and market segments" (column 5, lines 25 -26). The lifecycle factor is also anticipated by Healy et al, which discloses "the rated buying population for the category is computed by multiplying the propensity-to-buy rating by multiplying the propensity-to-buy rating by the population falling within the category" (column 6, lines 33 - 36).

categorizing the customer by evaluating a plurality of categorization criteria, at least one of the plurality of categorization criteria including the computed lifecycle factor. Categorization criteria are anticipated by Healy et al, which discloses the five weighting coefficient or criteria of "product, geography, market segment, provider, and time" (column 6, lines 20 -21).

3. Claims 2, 16 and 30 are a method, computer system and medium wherein the measure is selected from a group including number of orders, quantity of purchase and revenue. A measure that is based upon quantity of purchase is anticipated by Healy et al, which discloses "the stages of a product life cycle" (column 5, lines 31) in which the y-axis of the product life cycle is quantity of products sold.

Art Unit: 3623

- 4. Claims 3, 4, 17, 18, 31 and 32 are a method, computer system and medium wherein the calculation period is selected from a group including daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, semi-annually, yearly, period and year over year. Calculation over various time periods is anticipated by Healy et al which discloses "in the time dimension, the database can track both historic and forecasted market data. Any time frames can be accommodated. For example, in one embodiment, the database contains 20 quarters of historic market data and 20 quarters of current and/or forecasted market data. Since all market allocations performed by the system can be performed in each time period, the addition of more time periods can add significantly to the computing hardware requirements" (column 5, lines 15 22).
- 5. Claims 5, 19 and 33 are a method, computer system and medium wherein the categorizing step assigns a customer to one of a plurality of stages according to which of the plurality of categorization criteria is satisfied. A number of or series of stages is anticipated by Healy et al which discloses "when product profiles are used, each product is assigned one of a series of profiles that generically describe a set of product growth trends, which often vary by the stages of a product life cycle" (column 5, lines 26 29).
- 6. Claims 8, 22 and 36 are a method, computer system and medium further comprising the step of selecting the customer according to at least one of a plurality of customer selection parameters. Customer selection parameters are anticipated by Healy et al, which discloses the five weighting coefficient or criteria of "product, geography, market segment, provider, and time" (column 6, lines 20 -21).
- 7. Claims 9, 23 and 37 are a method, computer system and medium wherein the customer selection parameters include market segment, customer category, operating unit and geography.

Art Unit: 3623

Market segment and geography are anticipated by Healy et al, which discloses the five weighting coefficient or criteria of "product, geography, market segment, provider, and time" (column 6, lines 20 -21).

- 8. Claims 10, 24 and 38 are a method, computer system and medium wherein the geography customer selection parameter includes at least one of a plurality of geographical subparameters, including area, country, region, state/province and city. Sets of regions and subregions is anticipated by Healy et al which discloses "market allocation fractions by said market size for said region, thereby generating a set of market sizes for said set of sub-regions" (column 9, lines 23 25).
- 9. Claims 11, 12, 25, 26, 39 and 40 are a method, computer system and medium wherein the accessing step accesses a remote database of customer data over a computer network.

 Incorporating a database is anticipated by Healy et al, which discloses "a method of using a computer for creating a database of market size data for one or more sub-regions" (column 9, lines 7 8).
- 10. Claims 13, 27 and 41 are a method, computer system and medium wherein the computer network includes the Internet. Incorporating the world wide web is anticipated by Healy et al which discloses "the output can be delivered electronically through a variety of channels, including facsimile, e-mail, and the world wide web" (column 4, lines 10 11).

Art Unit: 3623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 6, 7, 20, 21, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Healy et al (US 6,298,328) in view of the book "Marketing" by Evans & Berman copyright 1992.

Healy et al teaches a device for allocating, tracking and forecasting marketing data by a plurality of criteria, including product, geographic area, marketing segment, market size and market growth. Healy et al specifically teaches "stages of a product life cycle" (column 5, line 29), but does not actually recite each individual stage of the product life cycle.

"Marketing" by Evans & Berman defines the product life cycle as a means to "describe a product's sales, profits, customers, competitors and marketing emphasis" (page 263), teaches that the "product life cycle was first popularized by Theodore Levitt in 1965" (page 263), and teaches the four phases of the product life cycle as "introduction, growth, maturity and decline" (page 265, figure 9-12 and table 9-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of marketing at the time the invention was made to recite and include the individual stages of the marketing life cycle within the Healy et al invention because identifying each individual step is critical to implementing the product life cycle method in a practical business environment. Without knowing the individual

Art Unit: 3623

steps, the product life cycle is too abstract of an academic concept to be of any practical use.

Only by breaking the product life cycle down into individual implementable steps can the concept be applied in a concrete and practical business application.

13. Claims 6, 7, 20, 21, 34 and 35 are a method, computer system and medium wherein the plurality of stages includes at least one of New, Growing, Stable, Declining, Defected and Insignificant, where the new stage is based upon a date at which an account is established for the customer. This is taught by "Marketing" by Evans & Berman, which recites new as the introduction phase, recites growing as the growth phase, recites stable as the maturity phase, and declining, defected and insignificant as the decline phase (page 265).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to subdivide the product life cycle mentioned in the Healy et al invention into the phases of new/introduction, growth/growing, maturity/stable and decline/declining mentioned in the Evans & Berman "Marketing" book because the concept of the product life cycle and the four listed phases are old and very well known in the art of marketing. Dividing the product life cycle into four phases and further dividing the decline phase into declining, defected and insignificant would be obvious because this would lend a more granular analysis of the marketing data.

14. Claims 14, 28 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Healy et al (US 6,298,328) in view of the book Marketing research in a Marketing Environment by Dillon, Madden & Firtle copyright 1987.

Art Unit: 3623

Healy et al teaches a device for allocating, tracking and forecasting marketing data by a plurality of criteria, including product, geographic area, marketing segment, market size and market growth. Healy et al specifically teaches multiple periods, the percentage growth in the selected measure, the calculation of a life cycle factor called a propensity-to-buy, and weighting coefficients for determining marketing factors. Healy et al does not specifically mention determining an absolute value or the difference of the selective measure.

Dillon, Madden & Firtle teaches eigen-vectors as weighting factors used to conduct discriminant analysis (page 507), calculation of a purchase intent (page 519) and using the single linkage or nearest neighbor method to perform cluster analysis (page 511). The nearest neighbor method uses "a minimum-distance rule that starts out by first finding those two objects having the shortest distance. They constitute the first cluster" (page 511). Since distance is essentially the absolute value of the differences in the coordinates of two points, Dillon, Madden & Firtle's description of cluster analysis teaches the absolute value of a difference between two selected measures.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate into the Healy et al invention the concepts of discriminant analysis and cluster analysis because both concepts are used to categorize customers and both concepts are useful in determining how likely a customer is to buy a product, which Healy et al calls a propensity-to-buy. Cluster and discriminant analysis are two statistical analysis techniques that are old and well known in the art of marketing research and would be obvious to employ by a marketing researcher developing a tool to analyze marketing data.

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

- 15. No claims were allowed and all claims were rejected.
- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Riordan et al. (US 6,078,891) – System for collecting consumer data Deaton et al. (6,424,949) – Method for evaluating consumer responses Frost, W. Alan (5,041,972) – Point-of-sale marketing device Turnbull, Robert S. (5,208,765) – Product development system

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Eric Shaffer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5283. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 746-7238 [After Final communications, labeled "Box AF"]
 (703) 746-7239 [Official communications]
 (703) 706-9124 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 4th floor receptionist.

ETS

December 27, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3390